

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/694,220	10/28/2003		Seong-Hyok Kim	0630-1863P	3006	
2292	7590	08/30/2006		EXAMINER		
BIRCH ST PO BOX 74		KOLASCH & BIR	CHEN, TIANJIE			
	•	A 22040-0747	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
				2627		

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Commence	10/694,220	KIM ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Tianjie Chen	2627					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication Reply	on appears on the cover sh	eet with the correspondence a	address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILInsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicaty operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory ire to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be reply received by the Office later than three months after the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	NG DATE OF THIS COMN CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, tion. period will apply and will expire SIX (y statute, cause the application to bed	MUNICATION. may a reply be timely filed (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status								
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	26 June 2006						
·		This action is non-final.						
3)	/-		I matters, prosecution as to the	ne merits is				
-,	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims	•	,					
- 4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
۰/دـع	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)[7	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-9</u> is/are rejected.							
	Claim(s) <u>2</u> is/are objected to.							
	Claim(s) are subjected to: Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
	ion Papers	·						
	The specification is objected to by the Ex	ominor						
·	•		ad to by the Evenines					
יייייי	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	OED 4 404(4)				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by							
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119	and Examinor. Note the att	actica Office Action of form P	10-102.				
	•							
	l2)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:							
a)	_		•					
	 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the			al Stage				
* 0	application from the International I	, ,,,						
	See the attached detailed Office action for	a list of the certified copie	s not received.					
Attachmen	` '							
1) 🔼 Notic 2) 🗍 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	4) ∐ Inter	rview Summary (PTO-413) er No(s)/Mail Date					
3) 🔯 Infor	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ r No(s)/Mail Date	SB/08) 5) Noti	ce of Informal Patent Application (P)	ГО-152)				

Non-Final Rejection

Priority

1. Receipt is acknowledged of papers submitted under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election without traverse of Group Ia with claims 1-9 in the reply filed on 06/26/2006 is acknowledged.

Claim Objections

- 3. Claim 8 is objected to because of the following informalities:
 - In claim 8, line 2; "SiO2 and Si3N4" should be changed to -- SiO2 and Si3N4--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

4. Claims 3 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 3 recites the limitations "the lower fixed electrode, the upper fixed electrode and moving electrode" and claim 9 recites the limitations "the upper fixed body" and "the moving body." There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

It is suggested to change "claim 1" to "claim 2" in line 1 in claims 3 and claim 9, respectively, to overcome above rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

5. Claims 1, 4, and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Boutaghou et al (US 6,275,454).

Claim 1, Boutaghou et al shows an optical pickup head of an optical recording reproducing apparatus, including: a slider 20 (Fig. 2; column 3, line 24) installed so as to be slidable along the surface of an optical disk and having a convergence lens 40 (Fig. 2, column 3, lines 36-37) at a side for focusing light; and a micro-actuating means 44 installed so as to be laminated with the slider in order to adjust an optic axis of the convergence lens minutely (Column 3, lines 43-48).

Claim 4, Boutaghou et al shows that the slider is made of a transparent material (Column 3, lines 24-25).

Claim 5, Boutaghou et al shows that an air bearing surface is formed on the bottom surface of the slider corresponded to the optical disk (Column 3, lines 29-33).

Art Unit: 2627

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boutaghou et al in view of McDaniel (US 6,700,838).

Claim 6, McDaniel shows an optical pickup head, wherein a magnetic coil 416 is formed at the slider (Fig. 3) in order to magnetize the optical disk (Column 4, lines 18-22). MaDaniel further shows that this head is used for a magneto-optical disk, which can reach recording density up to 100 Gb/in² (Column 4, lines 62-67). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to add the coil to use the optical pickup head for a magneto-optical disk to reach a high recording density.

Claim 7, MaDaniel further shows in Fig. 3 that the magnetic coil is inserted into an insertion groove formed at the bottom surface of the slider.

7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Boutaghou et al and McDaniel as applied to claim 7 above, and further in view of Pust et al (US 6,661,605).

McDaniel does not show an optical pickup head of an optical recording reproducing apparatus, wherein filler having at least one of SiO₂ and Si₃N₄ is charged around the circumference of the magnetic coil of the slider.

Pust et al shows a coil, which has a filler having at least one of photoresist, AlN, SiO₂ and Si₃N₄ is charged around the circumference of the magnetic coil of the slider, which has comparable CTE to match the CTE of the material forming the coil (Column 4, lines 7-13). One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to use SiO₂ and Si₃N₄ for having a matched CTE.

Allowable Subject Matter

8. Claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 3 and 9 would be objected if they have been changed to dependent on claim 2.

With regard to claim 2, as the closest reference on record, Boutaghou et al (US 6,275,454) shows an optical pickup head of an optical recording reproducing apparatus including: a slider installed so as to be slidable along the surface of an optical disk and having a convergence lens at a side for focusing light; and a micro-actuating means installed so as to be laminated with the slider in order to adjust an optic axis of the convergence lens minutely; **but fails to show** a lower fixed body installed at the top of the slider, having plural lower fixed electrodes on the inner circumference at regular intervals; an upper fixed body installed at the top of the lower fixed body so as to be corresponded to a shape of the lower fixed body and have plural upper fixed electrodes on the inner

Page 6

circumference at regular intervals; an insulating layer interposed between the lower fixed body and the upper fixed body; a moving body installed so as to be corresponded to the lower and upper fixed bodies, moving in the optical axis direction and having a moving electrode at the outer circumference arranged alternately between the lower and upper fixed electrodes; and plural electrode pads for supplying power to the lower fixed body, the upper fixed body and the moving body in order to driving the moving body.

• Applicant asserts: the present invention provides an optical pickup head of an optical recording reproducing apparatus capable of being miniaturized and reducing a fabrication cost by using a MEMS (micro electro mechanical system) technique used for designing, fabricating and application of a microstructure (Specification, p. 2).

Conclusion

9. The prior art made of record in PTO-892 Form and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tianjie Chen whose telephone number is 571-272-7570. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00-4:30, Mon-Fri.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hoa Nguyen can be reached on 571-272-7579. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/694,220

Art Unit: 2627

Page 7

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR

only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov.

Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the

Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like

assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TIANJIE CHEN

PRIMARY EXAMINER